Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 1744

The Crystal Structure of Racemic μ-Amido-μ-sulfato-bis[bis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)] Tribromide*

BY ULF THEWALT[†]

A. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, 91109, U.S.A.

(Received 2 September 1970)

The crystal structure of racemic μ -amido- μ -sulfato-bis[bis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)] tribromide, DL-[(en)₂Co· μ (NH₂,SO₄)·Co(en)₂]Br₃, has been solved from three-dimensional X-ray diffraction data. The salt crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ with $a=11\cdot258$ (1), $b=17\cdot095$ (1), $c=12\cdot495$ (1) Å and $\beta=106\cdot79$ (1)°. The observed density is 2.05 g.cm⁻³, and that calculated for four formula units in the cell is 2.049 g.cm⁻³. The structure was solved using Patterson and Fourier methods and refined by least-squares calculations. The final conventional R index is 0.066 for the 2944 independent reflections of non-zero weight. The cation contains a six-membered puckered central ring of the form



The endocyclic S–O bonds (uncorrected average 1.493 Å) appear to be slightly longer than the *exo* S–O bonds (uncorrected average 1.442 Å) and the S–O bonds in free sulfate ions. The cation has essentially C_2 symmetry. The configurations and conformations of the two cation antipodes in the crystal are described by the symbols $\frac{\delta}{\delta} \lambda \lambda A_{\delta}^{\delta}$ and $\frac{\lambda}{2} \Delta \delta A_{\delta}^{2}$.

Introduction

Salts of the sulfato-bridged cations (I) and (II) were prepared and formulated



as doubly bridged dinuclear cobalt cations by Werner (1910) more than sixty years ago. As in other bridged cobalt complexes, the geometry of the central part, particularly the geometry of the sulfato bridge, was of considerable interest. Nakamoto, Fujita, Tanaka & Kobayashi (1957) concluded on the basis of the infrared spectrum of the nitrate salt of (I), that the μ -sulfato group in these cations is bonded to the cobalt atoms *via* two of its oxygen atoms:



To obtain full confirmation of this arrangement, the crystal structure of $DL-[(en)_2Co.\mu(NH_2, SO_4).Co(en)_2]$ Br₃ was investigated by single-crystal X-ray diffraction techniques. It would also be of interest to compare the cations of this salt with related cations in respect of configurations and conformations of the cobalt atoms and of the ethylenediamine rings.

Experimental

Of the two methods described by Werner for the preparation of $DL-[(en)_2Co.\mu(NH_2, SO_4).Co(en)_2]Br_3$ (Werner, 1910; Werner, Kuh & Wüst, 1914), we used that described in his 1914 paper. By this method an aqueous solution of racemic μ -amido- μ -superoxo-bis-[bis(ethylenediamine)cobalt(III)] tetranitrate hydrate (Tetraäthylendiamin- μ -amino-peroxo-kobalti-kobalte-Nitrat in Werner's nomenclature) was treated with an excess of sulphur dioxide in aqueous solution. The reaction, which is completed within a few seconds,

^{*} Contribution No. 4105 from the A. A. Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics.

[†] Present address: Mineralogisches Institut der Universität Marburg, 355 Marburg, Deutschhausstrasse, 10, Germany.

probably involves direct addition of an SO_2 molecule to the superoxo bridge (Garbett & Gillard, 1968). The nitrate salt obtained in this way was transformed into the bromide by precipitating from an ammonium bromide solution. The bromide salt was purified by recrystallization from hot water. Analysis of DL-[(en)₂Co. μ (NH₂, SO₄). Co(en)₂]Br₃

Calculated: Co, 16.6; Br, 33.8; S, 4.5; N, 17.8; C, 13.5; H, 4.8

Found: Co, 17·4; Br, 33·8; S, 4·3; N, 17·8 C, 13·5; H, 5·2

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors

The columns are k, $10F_o$, and $10F_c$. Values of $10F_o$ reported as '----' indicate unobserved reflections; asterisks indicate zero-weight reflections.

	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1 1
--	---	---

·		. •	Table 1	(cont.)			
under aussen franken aussen ander aussen franken ander aussen a Aussen aussen				5.35.538.538.538.53.55.548.538.548.538.548.538.548.548.548.548.548.548.548.548.548.54			

THE CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF $DL-[(en)_2Co \cdot \mu(NH_2,SO_4) \cdot Co(en)_2]Br$

1746

Dark red monoclinic crystals, in the shape of short prisms with prominent forms $\{100\}$ and $\{011\}$ and with volumes up to several cubic millimeters, were grown by slow evaporation of an aqueous solution at room temperature.

We did not observe the needle-like crystals described by Werner. The space group $P2_1/c$ was indicated by systematic absences on Weissenberg photographs (h0l, l=2n+1; 0k0, k=2n+1). Cell parameters were obtained from a least-squares treatment of measurements for 38 reflections on zero-level Straumanis-type Weissenberg photographs, taken about the *a* and *b* axes with Cu K α radiation at 23 ± 2 °C. The results with other crystal data are:

$Co_2C_8H_{34}N_9O_4SBr_3$	M.W. 710.08
a = 11.258(1) Å	Space group $P2_1/c$
b = 17.095(1)	F(000) = 1408
c = 12.495(1)	λ (Cu K α_1) = 1.54051 Å
$\beta = 106.79 (1)^{\circ}$	$\lambda(Cu K\alpha_2) = 1.54433$
$V = 2302 \cdot 2 \text{ Å}^3$	$\mu(Co K\alpha) = 148 \text{ cm}^{-1}$
$D_m = 2.05 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$	$D_x = 2.049 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}$

The observed density was measured by flotation in a carbon tetrachloride–1,2-dibromoethane mixture. It agrees well with the calculated density, assuming four formula units in the unit cell.

Intensity data were collected on a Datex-automated General Electric XRD-5 diffractometer, using iron filtered cobalt radiation, a NaI(Tl) scintillation counter and a pulse-height analyzer. The intensities were measured at a takeoff angle of 3° using a θ -2 θ scan at a scanning speed of 4°.min⁻¹. The measurements were made in the range $2\theta = 7^{\circ}$ to 154°. Background was counted for 15 sec at each end of the scan. The scan range was adjusted to account for α_1 - α_2 splitting. The crystal used was ground into a sphere of 0·12 mm diameter and was mounted with **b** parallel to the φ axis of the diffractometer. The 081 reflection was monitored periodically during the data collection process; there was no significant deviation in its intensity over the period of data collection. The individual reflections were assigned intensity values, I_{obs} , and standard deviations, $\sigma(I_{obs})$, according to the formulas

$$I_{obs} = [S - (B_1 + B_2)T_s/2t]/Lp$$

$$\sigma(I_{obs}) = [S + (B_1 + B_2) \cdot (T_s/2t)^2 + (0.017S)^2]^{1/2}/Lp$$

where S = total scan count, $T_s =$ scanning time, B_1 and $B_2 =$ background counts, t = time spent counting the background, and Lp = Lorentz-polarization correction factor.

The term $(0.017S)^2$ in the second equation was introduced to compensate for errors not due to counting statistics (Busing & Levy, 1957). Of the 3093 recorded independent reflections, 142 had net intensities I_{obs} equal to or below background level; they were assigned intensities and weights of zero. All reflections which were

Table 2. Positional and thermal parameters of the non-hydrogen atoms and their standard deviations

Values for the Co, Br and S atoms have been multiplied by 10⁵; for other atoms by 10⁴. The standard deviations are given in parentheses, and refer to the last decimal position of respective values. The temperature factors are of the form $\exp[-(h^2\beta_{11} + k^2\beta_{22} + l^2\beta_{33} + hk\beta_{12} + hl\beta_{13} + kl\beta_{23})]$.

	x	У	Z	β ₁₁	/ ³ 22	(³ 33	β_{12}	/ ³ 13	β_{23}
Co(1)	-20179(10)	15191(7)	20370(9)	267(10)	158(4)	217(9)	-48(11)	93(15)	-18(10)
Co(1')	12248(10)	13549(6)	30102(9)	267(10)	132(4)	217(8)	-8(10)	71(15)	-8(10)
Br(1)	43418(8)	19289(5)	-5072(8)	530(8)	287(4)	633(7)	221(8)	159(12)	190(9)
Br(2)	323(9)	7784(5)	-14141(8)	1024(11)	235(4)	692(8)	117(10)	-310(15)	-204(9)
Br(3)	48637(8)	3258(6)	29747(9)	553(8)	467(5)	954(9)	324(10)	653(14)	595(11)
S	-4318(16)	17335(11)	45440(15)	389(16)	197(7)	153(12)	-42(17)	156(23)	-56(15)
O(1)	-1489(4)	1401(3)	3658(4)	48(5)	42(3)	17(4)	-23(6)	11(7)	-4(5)
O(1')	568(4)	1968(3)	4031(4)	43(4)	19(2)	25(4)	-11(5)	33(7)	-15(4)
O(2)	-815(5)	2427(4)	5008(5)	84(6)	36(3)	101(6)	-33(7)	142(11)	-62(7)
O(2')	17(5)	1140(4)	5384(5)	65(6)	52(3)	51(5)	9(7)	30(9)	62(6)
N(1)	~383(5)	1271(3)	1881(4)	36(5)	17(2)	17(4)	-9(5)	-2(8)	0(5)
N(2)	-2639(5)	1495(3)	428(5)	31(5)	20(2)	31(4)	-3(6)	12(8)	-4(6)
N(2')	1937(5)	877(3)	1936(5)	41(5)	18(2)	41(5)	-4(6)	12(9)	-8(6)
N(3)	-3648(5)	1844(4)	2175(5)	42(5)	26(3)	41(5)	-17(6)	20(9)	4(6)
N(3')	2843(5)	1372(3)	4151(5)	29(5)	18(2)	34(5)	-7(6)	2(8)	-1(5)
N(4)	-1663(5)	2643(3)	2050(5)	45(5)	17(2)	37(5)	-13(6)	20(9)	-3(6)
N(4')	1030(5)	339(3)	3673(5)	36(5)	16(2)	33(5)	2(6)	5(8)	5(6)
N(5)	-2517(5)	407(4)	1971(5)	33(5)	22(2)	47(5)	-3(6)	21(9)	14(6)
N(5')	1618(5)	2358(3)	2423(5)	38(5)	18(2)	28(5)	-13(6)	5(8)	4(5)
C(2)	-2845(7)	664(5)	28(6)	62(8)	30(3)	36(6)	9(9)	-12(12)	-25(8)
C(2')	2128(7)	1478(5)	1139(6)	76(8)	39(4)	29(6)	-3(10)	29(12)	1(8)
C(3)	-3573(8)	2673(5)	2522(8)	65(8)	34(4)	96(9)	0(9)	83(15)	-44(10)
C(3')	2841(7)	822(5)	5048(7)	65(8)	29(3)	37(6)	10(9)	1(12)	5(8)
C(4)	-2805(8)	3100(5)	1904(8)	72(9)	16(3)	90(9)	23(8)	17(14)	-6(9)
C(4')	2214(8)	94(5)	4478(7)	73(8)	18(3)	66(8)	12(8)	10(13)	26(8)
C(5)	-3326(7)	226(5)	827(7)	56(8)	21(3)	71(8)	-12(8)	1(13)	-20(8)
C(5')	2490(7)	2225(5)	1770(7)	58(7)	37(4)	35(6)	-32(8)	38(11)	10(8)

measured above background were treated as observed. An absorption correction was applied to the data assuming a spherical crystal with $\mu R = 0.89$.

The data were then placed approximately on the absolute scale by a Wilson plot, giving a final set of F_o^2 and $\sigma(F_o^2)$ values on which the determination and the refinement of the structure were based.

Determination and refinement of the structure

The approximate positional parameters of the two cobalt and the three bromine atoms were obtained from a three-dimensional sharpened Patterson map. The first structure factor calculation gave R=0.38. (R is defined throughout as $\sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|$, where the sums are over reflections with $w \neq 0$). The remaining non-hydrogen atoms were located from a three-dimensional electron density map. The R index for the complete trial structure was 0.25. At this point refinement was started by least-squares procedures. The quantity minimized was $\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^{*2})^2$, with weights w taken equal to $1/\sigma^2(F_o^2)$. F_c^* is derived from F_c by correcting for the r_c recting for the effect of secondary extinction [Larson, 1967; equation (3)]. The atomic scattering factors used for Co²⁺, O, N, C, and Br⁻ were those listed in International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). The curve for Co²⁺ was corrected for the real part of the anomalous scattering by subtracting 2.2 electrons over the whole sin θ/λ range (Saravia & Caticha-Ellis, 1966). The form factor curve used for hydrogen was that of Stewart, Davidson & Simpson (1965). Two cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of the positional and isotropic thermal parameters of all non-hydrogen atoms, based on the 1717 reflections with lowest values of sin θ/λ , reduced R to 0.124.

At this point the coordinates of the hydrogen atoms of the ethylenediamine groups and the μ -NH₂ group were calculated assuming N-H and C-H distances of 0.9 Å and H-C-H and H-N-H angles of 109.5°. The hydrogen atoms were included in the structure factor calculations but not the refinement in the subsequent least-squares cycles; in these calculations the hydrogens were assigned isotropic temperature factors equal to those of the atoms to which they were bonded. In the subsequent anisotropic refinement the complete set of data was used (except reflections with w=0). A total of 245 parameters were adjusted: a scale factor, a secondary extinction parameter, and three positional and six thermal parameters for each of the non-hydrogen atoms. Because of the limited core storage of the computer all 245 parameters could not be refined at the same time; therefore they were included in three matrices of about equal dimensions, the positional and thermal parameters of a given atom being in one matrix.

Four cycles of anisotropic refinement brought R to 0.067. After the second cycle the hydrogen positions were readjusted using the improved heavy atom coor-

Table 3. Positional parameters assigned to the hydrogen atoms

All values have been multiplied by 103.

		х	У	z
N(1)	H(2)	-43	77	163
•	H(3)	-31	159	130
N(2)	H(4)	-210	176	11
	H(5)	-338	178	19
N(3)	H(6)	-387	153	269
	H(7)	-425	178	152
N(4)	H(8)	-130	278	149
	H(9)	-107	279	271
N(5)	H(10)	-294	30	247
	Ĥ(11)	-185	8	212
N(2')	H(12)	267	64	229
	H(13)	142	49	155
N(3')	H(14)	303	187	443
	H(15)	346	123	385
N(4')	H(16)	76	- 3	312
	H(17)	43	36	403
N(5')	H(18)	196	271°	299
	H(19)	92	260	197
C(2)	H(20)	-210	46	1
	H(21)	-336	65	-67
C(3)	H(22)	-320	272	329
	H(23)	-435	289	241
C(4)	H(24)	-328	315	118
	H(25)	-269	361	218
C(5)	H(26)	-413	38	75
	H(27)	-335	-29	66
C(2')	H(28)	276	132	83
	H(29)	144	154	55
C(3!)	H(30)	237	103	549
	H(31)	361	74	552
C(4')	H(32)	274	-16	412
	H(33)	211	-28	499
C(5')	H(34)	327	222	223
	H(35)	245	265	129



Fig. 1. A stereoscopic view of one of the cation antipodes (the $\frac{1}{2}\Delta\delta\Delta_{\lambda}^{2}$ isomer) in DL-[(en)₂Co. μ (NH₂, SO₄). Co(en)₂]Br₃.

dinates. Seven medium strong reflections which apparently were incorrectly measured were then given zero weight. Two more cycles of least-squares concluded the refinement. In the last cycle the shift/error ratios for all parameters were less than 0.5. The final R index for the 2944 reflections of non-zero weight was 0.066 and the goodness of fit $\left[\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/(m-s)\right]^{1/2}$ was 1.54. The parameter g of the secondary extinction had a final value of $(1.16 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-6}$. The two maximum deviations from zero in a final difference Fourier synthesis were +1.3 and -1.6 e.A⁻³; these excursions were located close to Br(3). Since no chemical significance could be attributed to these regions and since Br(3) exhibits a large anisotropy in its temperature factor, we assume that some kind of positional disorder in associated with this atom. All other deviations were less than $\pm 0.6 \text{ e.A}^{-3}$.

The observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 1. The final heavy-atom parameters and their estimated standard deviations are listed in Table 2. The assumed hydrogen parameters are given in Table 3. The average estimated standard deviations in the final positional coordinates are: Co, 0.001; Br, 0.001; S, 0.002; O, 0.005; N, 0.006; C, 0.009 Å. These errors correspond to the following average e.s.d.'s in bond lengths: Co–N, Co–O and S–O, 0.006; C–N, 0.011, C–C, 0.013 Å. The e.s.d.'s in bond angles are about 0.3° at Co; 0.4° at S and the bridging N(1), and up to 0.7° at the other lighter atoms.

All calculations were carried out on an IBM 7094 computer using subprograms of the *CRYRM* system (Duchamp, 1964).

Discussion

The cation

A stereo view of one of the cation antipodes is shown in Fig. 1. (For simplicity of expression, properties which are equal for both cation antipodes, such as bond



Fig. 2. A view of one of the cation antipodes (the $\frac{1}{\lambda} \Delta \delta \Delta \frac{1}{\lambda}$ isomer) in DL-[(en)₂ Co. μ (NH₂, SO₄). Co(en)₂]Br₃ perpendicular to the Co(1), Co(1'), S plane. The deviations from this plane (Å) are given for some of the atoms.

lengths, are discussed in terms of 'the cation' in the following discussion.) The cation consists of two octahedrally coordinated cobalt atoms which are connected by an amido and a sulfato bridge. As predicted by Nakamoto, Fujita, Tanaka & Kobayashi (1957), the sulfato group is involved in the bridge with two of its oxygen atoms, so that a six-membered cation central ring is formed.

The central ring is considerably puckered. Details of the puckering are indicated in Fig. 2. The four atoms Co(1), Co(1'), S and N(1) are nearly coplanar, while the two bridging sulfato oxygen atoms are displaced by about 0.5 Å to opposite sides of this plane.

The bond distances and angles for the cation are listed in Table 4. The geometry of the bridging sulfato group is close to that of the free sulfato ion. All six O-S-O angles deviate by less than 3° from the tetrahedral angle. The average (uncorrected) lengths of the exocyclic and endocyclic S-O bonds are 1.442 and 1.493 Å respectively. In view of the relatively high thermal motions of atoms O(1), O(2), and O(2'), all of which have their maximum root-mean-square amplitudes approximately perpendicular to the respective S-O bonds, as can be seen from Fig. 1, corrections to the bond lengths due to thermal motion should be applied.

Assuming a 'riding motion' (Busing & Levy, 1964) for these oxygen atoms the average bond lengths become 1.47 Å (*exo*) and 1.50 Å (*endo*). The average corrected S-O bond length as found in several inorganic sulfates is close to 1.48 Å (Larson, 1965). The deviations from this value possibly reflect the change of bond order of the S-O bonds when the free sulfate ion forms a bridge between the cobalt atoms. This situation is illustrated by the following valence bond scheme:



An analogous effect has been observed for the μ -NO₂ bridge in the

arrangement.

There, the bridge N-O bond distance (1.31 Å) approaches the N-O single bond distance, whereas the *exo* N-O bond is slightly shorter than the N-O bond distance in the nitrite ion (Thewalt & Marsh, 1970*a*; Thewalt, 1970).

There are two groups of Co-N(en) bonds with different bond distances: (a) the bonds *trans* to the sulfato oxygen atoms, 1.932 and 1.929 Å, and (b) the remaining six bonds with a mean of 1.967 Å and ranging from 1.962 to 1.978 Å. The standard deviation of these six bond lengths, as calculated from the spread of the individual values, is $\sigma = 0.006$ Å. These values compare well with 1.960 ± 0.009 Å for the



(hereafter called the μ (NH₂, OH) cation) (Thewalt & Marsh, 1970b). Mean values and standard deviations for the other bond distances and angles of the Co-(en) chelate rings are given below. For comparison corresponding values for the μ (NH₂, OH) cation are given in parentheses.

	N-C	1.479 ± 0.013	(1·476 <u>+</u> 0·009) Å
•	C-C	1• 4 93 ± 0•016	(1·485 ± 0·013) Å
	N-Co-N	85.2 ± 0.7	$(84.5 \pm 0.6)^{\circ}$

Since most C and N atoms of the (en) rings exhibit relatively high thermal vibrations, the bond lengths and angles are of relatively low precision.

The (en) chelate rings are all in the unstrained gauche form. The deviations of the carbon atoms of each ring from the respective N-Co-N plane and the dihedral angles α and β are listed in Table 5 (α and β are as used by Raymond, Corfield & Ibers, 1968: α = angle between the (N-metal-N) plane and the (C-metal-C) plane; β = torsion angle between the nitrogen atoms across the C-C bond). The mean values are $26\cdot3\pm0\cdot8^{\circ}$ for α and $49\cdot1\pm1\cdot3^{\circ}$ for β ; the average deviation of a C atom from its N-Co-N plane is $0\cdot33\pm0\cdot07$ Å. The corresponding values for the μ (NH₂, OH) cation are $26\cdot3\pm1\cdot4^{\circ}$, $48\cdot9\pm2\cdot8^{\circ}$, and $0\cdot33\pm0\cdot09$ Å. Within one complex cation both cobalt atoms have identical configurations and the four ethylenediamine chelate rings have identical conformations. Additional chirality of

Table 4. Distances and angles in the cation

These values contain no corrections for thermal motion. Error assignments are described in the text. Distances 3.510 Å Co(1) - Co(1')1.949 Co(1')-O(1') 1·953 Å O(1) 1.953 1.953 N(1) N(1) 1.932 1.929 N(2') N(2)1.963 1.972 N(3) N(3') 1.962 N(4') 1.963 N(4) 1.965 1.978 N(5" N(5) 1.500 1.490 N(2) -C(2) N(2')-C(2' 1.478N(3) -C(3) N(3') -C(3' 1.4631.469 N(4') 1.479 N(4)C(4)·C(4″ N(5) 1.489 N(5') -C(5') 1.465 ·C(5) 1.493 1.471-C(5) Ç(2) C(5) C(2') C(3) $\cdot C(4)$ 1.503 C(3')--C(4') 1.506 1.502 O(1) 1.484·O(1') O(2) 1.440O(2') 1.443 Angles 128.0° Co(1)-N(1)-Co(1')Co(1) - O(1) - S132.4 Co(1')-O(1')-127·4° O(1)--Co(1)-N(1) 93.9 93.7 O(1') - Co(1') - N(1)172.2 172.5 -N(2) ·N(2' 87.2 88·0 .N(3) N(4)95.3 95.1 86.7 86.8 N(1)---Co(1')-N(2 N(1)-Co(1)-N(2)87.7 87·2 -N(3)176.2 -N(3 176.6 -N(4)91.1 -N(4 92.7 92.9 93.2 -N(5) 91.8 91.5 N(2')--Co(1')-N(3)N(2) - Co(1) - N(3)92.4 92.2 -N(4) 85.8 -N(5) 85.6 N(3) - Co(1) - N(4)85.2 N(3')--Co(1')-N(4 84.3 90.8 89.8 -N(5') -N(5)173.7 N(4) - Co(1) - N(5)N(4')-Co(1')-N(5')175.4 Co(1) - N(2) - C(2)110.0 Co(1')-N(2')-C(2')110.0 N(2') - C(2') - C(5')107.8 107.9 N(2) - C(2) --C(5) 107.7 C(2') - C(5') - N(5')107.6 -C(5)-C(2)--N(5)109.4 C(5') - N(5') - Co(1')C(5)-N(5)--Co(1) 109-1 Co(1)-N(3)--C(3) 108.7 Co(1')-N(3')-C(3')109.9 107.9 N(3')--C(3')-105.8 -C(4') -C(4) C(3) - C(4)-106.9 C(3')--C(4')-107.1 -N(4) -N(4') 110.5 -Co(1') 110.5 C(4) -N(4)--Co(1)C(4')---N(4')--O(2)107.5 O(1)--S -O(2) 110.3 O(1')-S 110.5 -0(2')·O(2' 108.1 108.8 O(2)--S 111.7 -O(1') -0(2′)

1750

the cation is caused by the puckering of the six-membered central ring. The general configuration and conformation of the cation, shown in Figs. 1 and 2 can be expressed by the symbol $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda}\Delta\delta\Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$ and those of its antipode by $\frac{\delta}{\delta}\Lambda_{\lambda}\Lambda_{\delta}^{\delta}$. Here Δ and Λ refer to configurations about the metal atoms and δ and λ to ring conformations. The Δ , Λ , δ , and λ symbols are used in accord with a recent IUPAC (1970) proposal; they are put together in an obvious way to represent the dinuclear complex cations. Details of this symbolism for polynuclear complexes will be discussed elsewhere.

Table 5. Fuckering of the envienediamine fu	Table	5.	Puckering	of the	e ethvlenediamine	rings
---	-------	----	-----------	--------	-------------------	-------

Plane defined by atoms Co(1), N(2), N(5)	Atom C(2) C(5)	Deviation from plane 0·32 Å 0·32	α 26·0	β 48·3°
Co(1), N(3), N(4)	C(3) C(4)	0·37 0·29	26.4	49·7
Co(1'), N(2'), N(5')	C(2') C(5')	0·28 0·36	25.5	47.9
Co(1'), N(3'), N(4')	C(3') C(4')	0·46 0·23	27.3	50.7

If one takes into account the possible configurations about the cobalt atoms and the possible conformations of the five rings in the cation, one finds that there are 36 pairs of optical antipodes. As in the case of the $\mu(NH_2, OH)$ complex the actually observed cations possess a twofold non-crystallographic axis. It goes through S and N(1). Most other possible isomers, on the other hand, do not have any element of symmetry (except the identity). The $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda} \Delta \Delta_{\lambda}^{\lambda}$ and $\frac{\delta}{\delta} \Lambda A_{\delta}^{\delta}$ conformations were observed for the $\mu(NH_2, OH)$ cation, and it appeared that



Fig. 3. A portion of the structure viewed along the c axis. The cation with the coordinates of Table 2 and its closest cation and anion neighbors are shown.

these particular conformations are a consequence of nonbonded interactions between amine hydrogen atoms of the ethylenediamine rings *cis* to the central ring (Thewalt & Marsh, 1970b). It is somewhat surprising that in the $\mu(NH_2, SO_4)$ cation the same conformational pattern is observed, since the $N \cdots N$ distances between the relevant NH_2 groups are considerably longer than in the $\mu(NH_2, OH)$ cation and therefore could be expected to allow other overall conformations. [$i\mu(NH_2,$ SO_4): $N(4) \cdots N(5') = 3.62$; $N(4') \cdots N(5) = 3.95$ Å; $\mu(NH_2, OH)$: 3.26 and 3.26 Å].

The ellipsoids of thermal vibration for the atoms of the cation are shown in Fig. 1. The thermal vibrations are in general agreement with those that would be expected on the basis of the cation geometry. The vibration amplitudes of the cobalt atoms are moderately small and nearly isotropic (0.12 to 0.15) Å. The vibrations of the sulfur atom are also relatively small. The largest vibrations are exhibited by the two *exo* oxygen atoms O(2) and O(2') with maximum r.m.s. amplitudes (0.32 and 0.31 Å, respectively) roughly perpendicular to the respective S–O bonds and by some of the carbon atoms of the ethylenediamine rings (r.m.s. amplitudes up to 0.29 Å).

The overall structure

Fig. 3 shows the crystal structure projected down the c axis. The shortest distances between the nonhydrogen atoms of the cation and atoms of surrounding cations and bromide anions are listed in Table 6. All amine hydrogen atoms of the ethylenediamine rings and of the μ -NH₂ group seem to participate in the three-

Table 6. Short contacts, $D \cdots A$, between the nonhydrogen atoms of the cation and surrounding anions and cations

Hydrogen atoms not too far from the $D \cdots A$ line and angles D-H-A are also given. Symmetry code: no letter, coordinates as given in Tables 2 and 3; (a) -x, -y, -z; (b) -x, -y, 1-z; (c) -1+x, y, z; (d) x, $\frac{1}{2}-y$, $-\frac{1}{2}+z$; (e) x, $\frac{1}{2}-y$, $\frac{1}{2}+z$.

-				(D · · · ·)
D	A	Н	d(DA)	<(DHA)
N(1)	Br(2,a)	H(2)	3·59 Å	164°
. ,	O(2,d)	H(3)	3.16	157
N(2)	O(2,d)	H(4)	2.92	157
. ,	Br(1,c)	H(5)	3.34	154
N(3)	Br(3,c)	H(6)	3.39	146
. ,	Br(1,c)	H(7)	3.46	164
N(4)	O(2,d)	H(8)	2.97	154
	Br(2,e)	H(9)	3.53	135
N(5)	Br(3,c)	H(10)	3.52	148
X - 7	Br(2,a)	H(11)	3.68	151
N(2')	Br(3)	H(12)	3.31	163
. ,	Br(2,a)	H(13)	3.54	153
N(3')	Br(1,e)	H(14)	3.32	147
- (-)	Br(3)	H(15)	3.53	159
N(4')	Br(2,a)	H(16)	3.33	168
	O(2')	H(17)	3.03	136
N(5′)	Br(1,e)	H(18)	3.60	138
- (-)	O(2,d)	H(19)	3.46	146
C(2')	Br(2)	H(29)	3.58	142
C(4')	O(2'b)	H(33)	3.32	122

dimensional hydrogen bond network. Some of the donor...acceptor distances are rather long, however, and some of the donor-H...acceptor angles deviate considerably from 180°, suggesting weak interactions. The angles at the hydrogen atoms are certainly not accurate, since the hydrogen atom positions were calculated on rather simplified assumptions (see above). The majority of hydrogen bonds are of the form $N-H \cdot \cdot \cdot Br$, ranging from 3.31 to 3.68 Å. In several other structure determinations, distances for Br...N hydrogen bonds have been found to be around 3.3 Å. It is of interest that there also seem to be hydrogen bonds of the type $N-H\cdots O$ (sulfato) between neighboring cations, e.g. $N(2)-H(4)\cdots O(2d)$, 2.92 Å. This indicates that despite the +3 overall charge on the ^v cations, the oxygen atoms are still negative enough to be hydrogen bond acceptors. The shortest intermolecular distances involving carbon atoms of the en groups (last two lines in Table 6) may be regarded as an accidental result of the molecular packing rather than hydrogen bonding.

I wish to thank Dr Richard E. Marsh for his continued interest and ever available counsel. I am also grateful to Dr Sten Samson for his assistance with the data collection. This work was supported by Grant No. GP-5768 from the National Science Foundation.

Introduction

BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1957). J. Chem. Phys. 26, 563.
BUSING, W. R. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 142.
DUCHAMP, D. J. (1964). A.C.A. Meeting, Bozeman, Montana, paper B-14.

- GARBETT, K. & GILLARD, R. D. (1968). J. Chem. Soc. (A) p. 1725.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III, p. 202. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- IUPAC (1970). Tentative Proposals for Nomenclature of Absolute Configurations Concerned with Six-Coordinated Complexes Based on the Octahedron. Inorg. Chem. 9, 1.
- LARSON, A. C. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 717.
- LARSON, A. C. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 664.
- NAKAMOTO, K., FUJITA, J., TANAKA, S. & KOBAYASHI, K. (1957). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 79, 4904.
- RAYMOND, K. N., CORFIELD, P. W. R. & IBERS, J. A. (1968). Inorg. Chem. 7, 842.
- SARAVIA, L. R. & CATICHA-ELLIS, S. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 927.
- STEWART, R. F., DAVIDSON, E. R. & SIMPSON, W. T. (1965). J. Chem. Phys. 42, 3175.
- THEWALT, U. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 81.
- THEWALT, U. & MARSH, R. E. (1970a). Inorg. Chem. 9, 1604.
- THEWALT, U. & MARSH, R. E. (1970b). To be published. WERNER, A. (1910). *Liebigs Ann.* 375, 1.
- WERNER, A., KUH, H. & WÜST, P. (1914). Ber. dtsch. Chem. Ges. 47, 1961.

Acta Cryst. (1971). B27, 1752

1752

The Crystal Structure of 1,6:2,3-Dianhydro-β-D-gulopyranose

By B. Berking and N. C. Seeman*

Department of Crystallography, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pa. 15213, U.S.A.

(Received 7 September 1970)

The crystal structure of 1,6:2,3-dianhydro- β -D-gulopyranose, C₆O₄H₈, has been determined from X-ray diffraction data measured on an automatic diffractometer. The phase problem was solved by combining the minimum function and direct methods. R=0.031. The crystal data are: $P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$, Z=8, $D_{m}=1.55$ g.cm⁻³; the lattice parameters a=6.825 (15), b=10.274 (5), c=17.618 (20) Å changed during exposure. The twofold dehydration and formation of additional intramolecular five- and three-membered rings force changes in the conformation of the pyranose ring. Above all, the existence of the epoxy ring shortens the bond C(2)-C(3) from 1.53 to 1.46 Å. The crystal packing is determined by two independent hydrogen-bonding systems, which form infinite chains around the screw axes parallel to the *a* and *b* axes, respectively.

Introduction

Several anhydro sugars have been reported recently, since they are considered as possible intermediates in some metabolisms (Isaacs & Kennard, 1970) and since they can be used in the synthesis of derivatives (Carvalho & Schuerch, 1959).

* Present address: Department of Biological Sciences, Columbia University, New York 10027, U. S. A. The dehydration of pyranoses to form the anhydrides results in the formation of additional intramolecular rings. For example, the dicyclic ring system of 1,6-anhydro- β -D-glucopyranose (I) is the anhydride of D-glucopyranose (II), and the tricyclic ring system of 1,6:2,3-dianhydro- β -D-gulopyranose (III) is the dianhydride of β -D-gulopyranose (IV). The structure of (I) is known from an X-ray crystal structure analysis by Park, Kim & Jeffrey (1971). The structure of (III) was determined to investigate the conformation of the mol-